

SFIT Data Analysis Toolkit

Douglas G. Stevenson

stevensonfluxinformationtheory.com

March 2026

Abstract

This toolkit provides practical instructions and code to test Stevenson-Flux Information Theory (SFIT) predictions using ultra-cold neutron data. It includes steps for re-binning, Fourier analysis, phase-locked overshoot detection, and statistical tension calculation.

1 Overview

SFIT predicts a 1.2 mHz geometric resonance that should appear as a phase-locked signal in long-duration qBounce or GRANIT-style experiments. This document helps you search for that signature in your data.

2 Key SFIT Predictions

- **Resonance frequency:** 1.201 34 mHz ($\pm 0.000\,05$ mHz)
- **Period:** 833.3 s
- **Phase of maximum overshoot:** 416.65 s after mirror step
- **Expected contrast modulation:** $0.122\% \pm 0.01\%$ [cite: 25]
- **Sideband ratio:** $J_1^2/J_0^2 \approx 0.0152$ [cite: 25]
- **Relaxation tail:** 832.6 s KWW decay[cite: 25]

3 Step-by-Step Analysis Pipeline

3.1 1. Data Preparation

- Use event-mode timestamp data (preferred)[cite: 25]
- Re-bin to 1-second intervals[cite: 25]
- Subtract monitor counts to remove reactor noise[cite: 25]
- Apply Non-Local Correlation (NLC) veto if available[cite: 25]

3.2 2. Fourier Analysis

Use the following Python code to search for the 1.2 mHz peak[cite: 25]:

```
1 import numpy as np
2 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
3 from scipy.fft import fft, fftfreq
4
5 # Load your rebinned count rate data (1 s bins)
6 t = np.arange(len(counts)) # time in seconds
7 signal = counts - np.mean(counts) # residuals
8
9 # Fourier transform
10 yf = fft(signal)
11 xf = fftfreq(len(t), d=1.0) # frequency in Hz
12
13 # Plot zoomed to sub-mHz range
14 plt.plot(xf, np.abs(yf))
15 plt.xlim(0.0005, 0.0025)
16 plt.axvline(0.00120134, color='r', linestyle='--',
17            label='SFIT 1.20134 mHz')
18 plt.xlabel('Frequency (Hz)')
19 plt.ylabel('Magnitude')
20 plt.legend()
21 plt.grid()
22 plt.show()
```

3.3 3. Phase-Locked Overshoot Analysis

Look for 4.5% count-rate jumps at mirror steps, with maximum amplitude at 416.65 s after the step.

3.4 4. Statistical Tension Calculation

$$\Sigma^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(A_{\text{obs}} - A_{\text{SFIT}})^2}{\sigma_k^2} \quad (1)$$

4 Expected Signatures Table

Signature	SFIT Prediction
Resonance frequency	1.201 34 mHz[cite: 25]
Overshoot phase	416.65 s after mirror step[cite: 25]
Contrast modulation	0.122% $\pm$ 0.01%[cite: 25]
Sideband ratio	$J_1^2/J_0^2 \approx 0.0152$ [cite: 25]
Relaxation tail	832.6 s KWW[cite: 25]
Aggregate significance	$\geq 5\sigma$ in a single long run[cite: 25]

Table 1: Expected SFIT experimental signatures[cite: 25].

5 Recommended Run Parameters

- **Duration:** 15–30 days continuous[cite: 25]
- **Mirror step synchronization:** aligned with 833.3 s cycle[cite: 25]

- **Binning:** 1-second intervals[cite: 25]
- **Detector cutoff:** $\leq 28.5 \mu\text{m}$ [cite: 25]

6 Repository & Contact

All code and updates are available at:

<https://www.stevensonfluxinformationtheory.com>[cite: 25]

Questions or data sharing: douglasgstevenson (at) your email[cite: 25].

References

- [1] M. Paluszny and M. Lentini, “Analyzing long wearable electrocardiogram recordings: R-spike detection and cycle pattern analysis,” *Academia Engineering*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2026, doi: <https://doi.org/10.20935/AcadEng8197>.
- [2] V. Rueda Villegas, E. Garza Piña, and R. Ulloa Zamorano, “Pico- and nanosecond synchronized nonlinear magnetization dynamics of an isotropic nanomagnet under sinusoidal linear excitation,” *Academia Nano: Science, Materials, Technology*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.20935/AcadNano7610>.
- [3] Y. Shih, “Ghost frequency-time combs: quantum coherence in classical and entangled laser beams,” *Academia Quantum*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2026, doi: <https://doi.org/10.20935/AcadQuant8239>.