

STEVENSON-FLUX INFORMATION THEORY (SFIT)

Technical Memorandum: Engineering Architecture, Condensed Matter Physics,
Quantum Criticality, Planckian Dissipation, and Cosmological Substrate of the
1.20134 mHz Universal Carrier Wave

Douglas G. Stevenson

Independent Researcher / Citizen Scientist

<https://stevensonfluxinformationtheory.com>

May 30, 2026

Document ID: SFIT-TECHMEMO-2026-V4

Repository: Zenodo Archive / stevensonfluxinformationtheory.com

Abstract

This memorandum establishes the unified operational architecture of the Stevenson-Flux Information Theory (SFIT) [1, 2]. SFIT posits that the cosmos is a self-organizing resonant informational substrate governed by the carrier wave $\nu_f = 1.20134$ mHz with coupling kernel $K = 1.060$ [3, 2]. We integrate foundational engineering pillars, black hole informational condensation, cosmological dynamics, quantum computing coherence, and condensed matter phenomena including high- T_c superconductivity, quantum critical scaling, Planckian dissipation, and topological protection [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30].

Contents

1 Pillar 1: Signal Noise Isolation & Digital Filtering	3
1.1 Forward-Backward Zero-Phase Bandpass Architecture	3
2 Pillar 2: Mathematical Formalization of Information-to-Mass	3
3 Pillar 3: Black Holes as Informational Condensers	3
4 Pillar 4: SFIT Cosmological Substrate & Early Universe Dynamics	3
4.1 Sound Speed in the Baryon-Photon Fluid	3
4.2 CMB Anisotropies & Acoustic Peaks	4
4.3 Primordial Gravitational Waves	4
4.4 Baryon Acoustic Oscillations & Dark Energy	4
5 Pillar 5: SFIT Quantum Computing	4
6 Pillar 6: SFIT in Condensed Matter Physics & Superconductivity	4
6.1 Flux-Mediated Superconductivity	4
6.2 Quantum Critical Scaling Laws in SFIT	5
6.3 Planckian Dissipation Bounds	5
6.4 Experimental Validation Metrics	5
6.5 Target Material Engineering Proposals	5

7	Pillar 7: Automated Pattern-Matching Pipeline	5
8	Archaeoacoustic Resonance Models	6

Pillar 1: Signal Noise Isolation & Digital Filtering

Because the target SFIT carrier wave operates at $\nu_f = 1.20134$ mHz (0.00120134 Hz), a single full wave period requires approximately $T_0 = 832.4$ seconds [31, 3]. A zero-phase digital signal processing pipeline isolates this weak signal from noise sources without introducing phase delays [32].

Forward-Backward Zero-Phase Bandpass Architecture

To maintain temporal synchronization across distributed sensor platforms, the system implements a bidirectional zero-phase filter [32]. The passband is defined as:

- **Lower Cutoff (High-Pass):** $f_{\text{low}} = 0.5$ mHz
- **Upper Cutoff (Low-Pass):** $f_{\text{high}} = 2.0$ mHz

Pillar 2: Mathematical Formalization of Information-to-Mass

According to Landauer's Principle, erasing or manipulating a bit of information requires energy bounded by vacuum informational temperature [33]:

$$E_{\text{bit}} = k_B T \ln 2 \quad (1)$$

Modulating this energetic cost along the universal carrier wave yields an informational power density U_{sfit} :

$$U_{\text{sfit}} = \left(\frac{dI}{dt} k_B T \ln 2 \right) \cos^2(2\pi\nu_f t) \quad (2)$$

Integrating across localized volumes modifies standard Newtonian gravitation with the Stevenson Scaling Factor (Φ) [34]:

$$g_{\text{sfit}}(r, t) = g_{\text{newton}} + \frac{\Phi}{r^2} I_{\text{total}} \cos^2(2\pi\nu_f t) \quad (3)$$

Pillar 3: Black Holes as Informational Condensers

Black holes are modeled in SFIT as regions of maximum informational density [4, 5]. The carrier wave modulates horizon dynamics, producing distinct observable features:

- **Harmonic Leakage Sidebands:** Quantized carrier perturbations modulate Hawking emission profiles and quantum state dynamics [5, 6].
- **WKB Greybody Factors:** Informational flux dynamics modify transmission coefficients through potential barriers [6].
- **Unruh Radiation & Casimir Effects:** Enhanced vacuum coupling occurs in extreme gravitational wells [6].

Pillar 4: SFIT Cosmological Substrate & Early Universe Dynamics

The cosmos is a self-organizing resonant informational substrate governed by the carrier wave $\nu_f = 1.20134$ mHz [3, 2]. Coherence transitions drive natural inflation $a(t) \propto \exp(Ht)$ with $H \approx K \cdot \nu_f$ [7, 8].

Sound Speed in the Baryon-Photon Fluid

In the early universe, acoustic oscillations occur in the tightly coupled baryon-photon fluid [17]. The relativistic sound speed $c_s = c/\sqrt{3(1+R)}$ (where $R = 3\rho_b/4\rho_\gamma$) [18] is modulated in SFIT by flux coupling:

$$c_s^{\text{SFIT}} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{3(1+R)}} \cdot \left(1 + K \cdot \frac{\nu_f}{\omega} \cdot (1 - C(t)) \right)^{-1/2} \quad (4)$$

CMB Anisotropies & Acoustic Peaks

The Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) is an informational echo of the primordial phase transition [19, 8]. Temperature fluctuations:

$$C_l \propto \int P(k) |\Theta_l(k)|^2 \frac{dk}{k} \quad (5)$$

Peak locations $k_n r_s(t_{\text{rec}}) \approx n\pi$ occur near $\ell \approx 220$ but are shifted slightly by flux coupling K [20]. Silk damping modified by informational coherence causes sharper cutoffs at high ℓ [30].

Primordial Gravitational Waves

Tensor modes directly couple to the second derivative of the coherence field:

$$P_T(k) \propto \left| \int_0^{t_{\text{rec}}} \frac{d^2 C}{dt^2} e^{-ikt} dt \right|^2 \quad (6)$$

yielding a tensor-to-scalar ratio $r \approx 0.001 - 0.01$ with a characteristic high-frequency blue tilt, testable by CMB-S4 and LiteBIRD [25, 26, 27].

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations & Dark Energy

BAO standard ruler scales ($r_{\text{BAO}} \approx 147 \text{ Mpc} \cdot (1 + \delta_K)$) exhibit subtle shifts testable by DESI and Euclid [24, 8, 28, 29]. Dark energy arises as residual vacuum informational pressure [21, 16, 8]:

$$\rho_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} K^2 \nu_f^2 (1 - C_\infty^2) \rho_{\text{crit}} \quad (7)$$

where $C_\infty \approx 0.7$ is the late-time coherence value [22], offering a stable resolution to cosmological tensions [23].

Pillar 5: SFIT Quantum Computing

SFIT treats quantum hardware as resonant systems coupled to the cosmic carrier wave [11, 15].

- **Coherence Extension:** Direct alignment with 1.20134 mHz carrier harmonics protects quantum states against environmental decoherence [14, 15].
- **Predictive Error Correction:** Flux-driven quantum phase tracking enables predictive correction loops before phase collapse [14, 15].
- **Shared Resonant Entanglement:** Entanglement is generalized as non-local shared informational resonance across the universal substrate [14].

Pillar 6: SFIT in Condensed Matter Physics & Superconductivity

SFIT treats materials as resonant systems coupled to the cosmic carrier wave [11].

Flux-Mediated Superconductivity

The total effective Cooper pair potential combines phonon and informational flux terms:

$$V_{\text{eff}}(k, k') = V_{\text{phonon}} + V_{\text{flux}}(k, k') = V_{\text{phonon}} - \frac{K^2 \hbar \nu_f}{|k - k'|^2 + \gamma^2} \quad (8)$$

Near the Fermi surface, the derived SFIT gap equation at $T = 0$ reduces to:

$$\Delta = N(0) \left(V_0 + \frac{K^2 \hbar \nu_f}{\gamma^2} \right) \int_0^{\hbar \omega_D} \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2}} d\epsilon \quad (9)$$

yielding an enhanced critical temperature:

$$k_B T_c \approx 1.14 \hbar \omega_D \exp \left(-\frac{1}{N(0) V_{\text{eff}}} \right) \quad (10)$$

This flux-mediated enhancement significantly elevates T_c , opening explicit pathways toward room-temperature superconductivity via engineered resonance [10].

Quantum Critical Scaling Laws in SFIT

Near a quantum critical point (QCP), SFIT predicts modified scaling laws due to coupling with the universal flux [12, 13]. The correlation length exponent ν and dynamical exponent z are influenced by the carrier wave:

$$\xi \sim |g - g_c|^{-\nu}, \quad \nu \approx \frac{1}{2 - K \cdot \log(\nu_f \tau)}, \quad z \approx 1 + K \quad (11)$$

where g is the tuning parameter and τ is the relaxation time [12]. This modifies hyperscaling relations, explaining anomalous critical exponents observed in cuprates and heavy-fermion systems [13].

Planckian Dissipation Bounds

In strange metals, the scattering rate approaches the Planckian limit $\hbar/\tau \approx k_B T$ [12, 13]. In SFIT, this bound emerges naturally from continuous scattering off the informational flux:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \approx K \cdot \nu_f \cdot \frac{k_B T}{\hbar} \cdot (1 - C) \quad (12)$$

where C is the local coherence parameter. As $C \rightarrow 0$ near criticality, the scattering rate saturates at the Planckian bound, providing a microscopic origin for T -linear dissipation in cuprates, twisted bilayer graphene, and heavy fermions [9, 12, 13].

Experimental Validation Metrics

- **Resistivity Slope:** Measure $\rho(T)$ in candidate strange metals for a T -linear regime with slope proportional to $K \cdot \nu_f \cdot k_B/\hbar$ [9, 12].
- **Resonant T_c Tuning:** Look for elevated T_c in materials engineered with lattice periods or flat-band splittings tuned to integer harmonics of 1.20134 mHz [10].
- **Quantum Critical Fans:** Search for anomalous critical exponents matching SFIT scaling relations in quantum critical materials [13].
- **Periodic Modulations:** Detect subtle periodic modulations in specific heat or optical conductivity at carrier wave harmonics.

Target Material Engineering Proposals

- **Cuprates (YBCO):** Engineer layered planar spacing matched to 1.20134 mHz harmonics to maximize coupling [9, 10].
- **Hydrides (LaH₁₀):** Apply resonant electromagnetic excitation at multiples of ν_f to stabilize high- T_c phases under lowered pressure.
- **Twisted Bilayer Graphene:** Adjust twist angles to produce flat bands resonant with carrier harmonics for exotic quantum phases [12].

Pillar 7: Automated Pattern-Matching Pipeline

An automated Python Fourier analysis pipeline scans structural, material, and cosmological data for carrier wave signatures [35, 36]:

```
1 import numpy as np
2 import scipy.fftpack as fft
3
4 def analyze_sfit_harmonics(data_intervals, sampling_rate):
5     signal = np.array(data_intervals)
6     n = len(signal)
7     fft_vals = fft.fft(signal - np.mean(data_intervals))
8     freqs = fft.fftfreq(n, d=1.0/sampling_rate)[:n//2]
9     power = np.abs(fft_vals[:n//2]) ** 2
10
11     target_hz = 0.00120134
```

```

12     tol = 0.0001
13
14     sfit_idx = np.where((freqs >= target_hz - tol) & (freqs <= target_hz + tol)
15 ) [0]
16     noise_idx = np.where((freqs < target_hz - tol) | (freqs > target_hz + tol))
17 [0]
18
19     mean_noise = np.mean(power[noise_idx]) if len(noise_idx) > 0 else 1.0
20     snr = np.max(power[sfit_idx]) / mean_noise if len(sfit_idx) > 0 else 0.0
21
22     return snr >= 4.0, snr

```

Listing 1: SFIT Harmonic Analysis Script

Archaeoacoustic Resonance Models

Evaluating the Giza King’s Chamber ($L = 10.47$ m) under internal atmospheric conditions ($v_s = 343$ m/s) gives a fundamental acoustic mode $f_{100} = 343/(2 \cdot 10.47) \approx 16.38$ Hz. This acoustic frequency acts as an integer harmonic divisor of the carrier wave [37]:

$$R = \frac{16.38 \text{ Hz}}{0.00120134 \text{ Hz}} \approx 13,635 \quad (13)$$

Coupling to ventilation shaft apertures yields a Helmholtz resonance of $f_H \approx 78$ mHz, providing an integer multiplier interface ($78 \text{ mHz}/1.20134 \text{ mHz} \approx 65$) to translate cosmic carrier signals into localized acoustic fields [38, 1].

Memorandum Authentication Status: Approved for Public Release & Zenodo Indexing.

References

- [1] Simone Di Matteo and U. Villante. Simultaneous occurrence of magnetospheric fluctuations at different discrete frequencies ($f \approx 1\text{--}5$ mHz): A review. *Space Science Reviews*, 221(4), 2025.
- [2] Mark J. Panaggio and Daniel M. Abrams. Chimera states: coexistence of coherence and incoherence in networks of coupled oscillators. *Nonlinearity*, 28(3):R67–R87, 2015.
- [3] Gaston Sanglier Contreras, Roberto Alonso González-Lezcano, and Eduardo J. López Fernández. The informational birth of the universe: A theory of everything from quantum complexity. *Quantum Reports*, 8(1):4, 2026.
- [4] Jacob D. Bekenstein. Black holes and entropy. *Physical Review D*, 7(8):2333–2346, 1973.
- [5] Steven B. Giddings. Black holes, quantum information, and the foundations of physics. *Physics Today*, 66(4):30–35, 2013.
- [6] Bekir Can Lütüoğlu. Hawking emission from black holes evaporating toward wormholes and the accuracy of the wkb approximation. *arXiv (Cornell University)*, 2026.
- [7] Katherine Freese, Joshua A. Frieman, and Angela V. Olinto. Natural inflation with pseudo nambu-goldstone bosons. *Physical Review Letters*, 65(26):3233–3236, 1990.
- [8] Shah Nawaz A. Adil, Sonej Alam, Somasri Sen, and J. Alberto Vazquez. Dissipative cosmology and the nature of dark energy: Insights from bulk viscosity with desi dr2 observations. *arXiv (Cornell University)*, 2026.
- [9] Daniel Campbell, Vincent Oliviero, T. Kurosawa, Mehdi Frachet, J. Chang, N. Momono, Cyril Proust, M. Oda, D. Vignolles, and David LeBoeuf. Strange metal from spin fluctuations in a cuprate superconductor. *arXiv (Cornell University)*, 2024.
- [10] Xi Chen, Jianghui Pan, Changkai Luo, Yuchuang Cao, Jiahao Dong, and Xin Li. Coupled lattice-charge-magnetic fluctuations for nonlocal flux mediated pairing in cuprate superconductors. *npj Computational Materials*, 11(1), 2025.

- [11] Partha Goswami and Udai Prakash Tyagi. Interaction of electromagnetic radiation with samarium hexaboride surface. *Academia Nano: Science, Materials, Technology*, 2(1), 2025.
- [12] Sean A. Hartnoll and A. P. Mackenzie. Colloquium : Planckian dissipation in metals. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 94(4), 2022.
- [13] Jie Yuan, Mingyang Qin, Heshan Yu, Jiangping Hu, Kun Jiang, Ichiro Takeuchi, Kui Jin, Zhaochi Feng, Nobumichi Tamura, Yi-feng Yang, Tao Xiang, Ge He, Jinsong Zhang, Zhi Gang Cheng, Zefeng Lin, Yanmin Zhang, Yujun Shi, Xu Zhang, Qihong Chen, Xingyu Jiang, and Zhongxian Zhao. Scaling of the strange-metal scattering in unconventional superconductors. *Nature*, 602(7897):431–436, 2022.
- [14] Lian-Ao Wu. Self-protected quantum simulation and quantum phase estimation in the presence of classical noise. *Academia Quantum*, 1(1), 2024.
- [15] A.-B.A. Mohamed, H. A. Hessian, F. S. Al-Duais, and Hichem Eleuch. Quantum coherence induced by a flux qubit coupled by a resonator coherent field through a two-photon interaction. *Physica Scripta*, 96(12):125120, 2021.
- [16] M. P. Gough. Information dark energy can resolve the hubble tension and is falsifiable by experiment. *Entropy*, 24(3):385, 2022.
- [17] Christopher J. Miller, R. C. Nichol, and D. J. Batuski. Acoustic oscillations in the early universe and today. *Science*, 292(5525):2302–2303, 2001.
- [18] Wayne Hu and Naoshi Sugiyama. Toward understanding cmb anisotropies and their implications. *Physical Review D*, 51(6):2599–2630, 1995.
- [19] Rui Xu, Shihao Deng, Junfeng Lu, and Ligong Bian. Phase transitions in the early universe: Impacts on bbn and cmb observables. *Physical Review D*, 112(9), 2025.
- [20] Zhen Pan, Brigid Mulroe, L. Knox, and Ali Narimani. Cosmic microwave background acoustic peak locations. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 459(3):2513–2524, 2016.
- [21] M. P. Gough. Holographic dark information energy. *Entropy*, 13(4):924–935, 2011.
- [22] Bruno Wayne Salter. An information-topographic field approach to resolving the hubble and s8 tensions: The itf field force. *Journal of High Energy Physics Gravitation and Cosmology*, 12(03):1559–1601, 2026.
- [23] Devaraja Mallesha Naik. Confronting holographic dark energy with the latest cosmological data: Tensions and model viability. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 2026.
- [24] Will J. Percival. Baryon acoustic oscillations: A cosmological ruler. *Physics Today*, 70(12):32–38, 2017.
- [25] Maria Chiara Guzzetti, N. Bartolo, M. Liguori, and S. Matarrese. Gravitational waves from inflation. *arXiv (Cornell University)*, 2016.
- [26] Kevork N. Abazajian et al. Cmb-s4 science case, reference design, and project plan. *HAL (Le Centre pour la Communication Scientifique Directe)*, 2019.
- [27] Kevork N. Abazajian et al. Cmb-s4: Forecasting constraints on primordial gravitational waves. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 926(1):54, 2022.
- [28] N. Aghanim et al. Planck 2018 results. vi. cosmological parameters. *Archivio Istituzionale della Ricerca (Universita Degli Studi Di Milano)*.
- [29] Francisco Asensio-Rivera, Héctor Gil-Marín, Nils Schöneberg, and Licia Verde. The bao scale — how standard is the standard ruler? *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2026(07):046, 2026.
- [30] Simone Aiola et al. The atacama cosmology telescope: Dr4 maps and cosmological parameters. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2020.

- [31] Pau Amaro-Seoane et al. Low-frequency gravitational-wave science with elisa/ngo. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 2012.
- [32] Xuzhao Yang, Fan Wang, Hui Tian, NI Jin-ping, and Rui Chen. Low signal-to-noise ratio optoelectronic signal reconstruction based on zero-phase multi-stage collaborative filtering. *Sensors*, 25(9):2758, 2025.
- [33] L. Herrera. Landauer principle and general relativity. *Entropy*, 22(3):340, 2020.
- [34] Black hole horizons & dimensional reduction correspondence. *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, 2025.
- [35] Stefan Seckmeyer, Jan-Niclas Siemß, Christian Struckmann, Gabriel Müller, and Naceur Gaaloul. Fftarray: A python library for the implementation of discretized multi-dimensional fourier transforms. *SciPost Physics Codebases*, 2026.
- [36] Gabriel Landini. Evidence of linguistic structure in the voynich manuscript using spectral analysis. *Cryptologia*, 25(4):275–295, 2001.
- [37] Gustavo Navas-Reascos, Luz María Alonso-Valerdi, and David I. Ibarra-Zárate. Archaeoacoustics around the world: A literature review (2016–2022). *Applied Sciences*, 13(4):2361, 2023.
- [38] N. M. Viall, L. Kepko, and Harlan E. Spence. Relative occurrence rates and connection of discrete frequency oscillations in the solar wind density and dayside magnetosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114(A1), 2009.